UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,543	01/18/2001	John Spinks	2983.2.1	9442
A IOLINI DATI	7590 10/24/2007		EXAM	INER
A. JOHN PATE PATE PIERCE & BAIRD PARKSIDE TOWER 215 SOUTH STATE STREET, SUITE 550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 09/764,543 SPINKS ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Hassan Phillips 2151 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) Hassan Phillips. (3) (2) John Pate. (4) Date of Interview: 17 October 2007 Time:					
Examiner Art Unit Hassan Phillips 2151					
All Participants: Status of Application: (1) Hassan Phillips. (3) (2) John Pate. (4)					
(1) <u>Hassan Phillips</u> . (3) (2) <u>John Pate</u> . (4)					
(2) <u>John Pate</u> . (4)					
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2007</u> Time:					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e)					
Claims discussed: Claim 1, all claims in general					
Prior art documents discussed: Nakamura					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
OII					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative gave a thorough overview of applicant's claimed invention and explained why the amended claims now distinguish from the teachings of the prior art. Examiner acknowlegded the amendments appear to address previous issues with the claims, and now appear to distinguish from the teachings of Nakamura. Examiner advised however that further search and consideration are necessary.